

PCT

WORLD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ORGANIZATION
International Bureau


INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(51) International Patent Classification ⁶ : A23L 3/3508		A1	(11) International Publication Number: WO 99/12435
			(43) International Publication Date: 18 March 1999 (18.03.99)
(21) International Application Number: PCT/NO98/00274 (22) International Filing Date: 11 September 1998 (11.09.98) (30) Priority Data: 974200 11 September 1997 (11.09.97) NO (71) Applicant (for all designated States except US): NORSK HYDRO ASA [NO/NO]; N-0240 Oslo (NO). (72) Inventors; and (75) Inventors/Applicants (for US only): HJØRNEVIK, Leif [NO/NO]; St. Hansg. 3, N-3714 Skien (NO). ANDERSEN, Tore, Roberg [NO/NO]; Messingv. 1, N-3124 Tønsberg (NO). SELMER-OLSEN, Ingvar [NO/NO]; Sørliv. 27, N-1473 Skårer (NO). (74) Agent: SUNDNES, Arne; Norsk Hydro ASA, N-0240 Oslo (NO).		(81) Designated States: AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, CA, CH, CN, CU, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, GB, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, NO, NZ, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SG, SI, SK, SL, TJ, TM, TR, TT, UA, UG, US, UZ, VN, YU, ZW, ARIPO patent (GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, SD, SZ, UG, ZW), Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European patent (AT, BE, CH, CY, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG). Published <i>With international search report.</i> <i>Before the expiration of the time limit for amending the claims and to be republished in the event of the receipt of amendments.</i>	

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

(54) Title: AQUEOUS PRESERVATIVE AGENTS

Corrosion, mm/year



(57) Abstract

The invention relates to an aqueous preservative agent for grass and other agricultural crops, fish and fish products and meat products, comprising at least one C₁-monocarboxylic acid or at least one of their respective ammonium-, sodium- or potassium salts mixed with at least one of said acids and at least one corrosion inhibitor, comprising C₈-22 fatty acid derivatives of trimethylamino acetic acid and/or C₈-22alkyl polyglycosides as corrosion inhibitors. The trimethylamino acetic acid derivative can be applied in amounts of 0.001-0.1 weight % dry matter based on the weight of the preservative agent itself and the alkyl polyglycoside can be applied in amounts of 0.1-0.5 weight % dry matter based on the weight of the preservative agent itself. The preservative can be formic acid containing 0.001-0.1 weight % dry matter of trimethylamino acetic acid derivatives and/or 0.1-0.5 weight % dry matter alkyl polyglycosides based on the weight of the formic acid.

FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AL	Albania	ES	Spain	LS	Lesotho	SI	Slovenia
AM	Armenia	FI	Finland	LT	Lithuania	SK	Slovakia
AT	Austria	FR	France	LU	Luxembourg	SN	Senegal
AU	Australia	GA	Gabon	LV	Latvia	SZ	Swaziland
AZ	Azerbaijan	GB	United Kingdom	MC	Monaco	TD	Chad
BA	Bosnia and Herzegovina	GE	Georgia	MD	Republic of Moldova	TG	Togo
BB	Barbados	GH	Ghana	MG	Madagascar	TJ	Tajikistan
BE	Belgium	GN	Guinea	MK	The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia	TM	Turkmenistan
BF	Burkina Faso	GR	Greece	ML	Mali	TR	Turkey
BG	Bulgaria	HU	Hungary	MN	Mongolia	TT	Trinidad and Tobago
BJ	Benin	IE	Ireland	MR	Mauritania	UA	Ukraine
BR	Brazil	IL	Israel	MW	Malawi	UG	Uganda
BY	Belarus	IS	Iceland	MX	Mexico	US	United States of America
CA	Canada	IT	Italy	NE	Niger	UZ	Uzbekistan
CF	Central African Republic	JP	Japan	NL	Netherlands	VN	Viet Nam
CG	Congo	KE	Kenya	NO	Norway	YU	Yugoslavia
CH	Switzerland	KG	Kyrgyzstan	NZ	New Zealand	ZW	Zimbabwe
CI	Côte d'Ivoire	KP	Democratic People's Republic of Korea	PL	Poland		
CM	Cameroon	KR	Republic of Korea	PT	Portugal		
CN	China	KZ	Kazakhstan	RO	Romania		
CU	Cuba	LC	Saint Lucia	RU	Russian Federation		
CZ	Czech Republic	LJ	Liechtenstein	SD	Sudan		
DE	Germany	LK	Sri Lanka	SE	Sweden		
DK	Denmark	LR	Liberia	SG	Singapore		
EE	Estonia						

Aqueous preservative agents

The present invention relates to aqueous preservative agents for grass and other agricultural crops, fish and fish product and meat products, comprising at least one C_{1-8} monocarboxylic acid and/or their salts and at least one corrosion inhibitor and possibly also an antioxidant.

Organic acids are extensively used as silage additives for grass and other crops and for fish and food offals. Acids and acid salts applied at a rate of 2-6 kg/ton have the general effect of reducing pH and thereby reducing plant respiration and proteolysis and inhibiting undesired bacteria such as Clostridia. Lactic acid bacteria are also inhibited, however, in most cases lactic acid fermentation is the predominant process. Acids mainly used for silage are formic acid, acetic acid, propionic acid, lactic acids or their mixtures. Even organic acids having chain length up to C_8 are used to some extent as preservatives to obtain special effects, such as inhibiting yeasts and moulds. Formic acid, commonly 85% concentration, is the most widespread acid silage preservative according to J.M. Wilkinson et al, (1966) "Silage in Europe. A survey of 33 countries", Chalcombe Publications Ltd., Lincoln UK, mainly due to its efficient acidification and antimicrobial effect, according to McDonald et al, (1991) "The biochemistry of Silage", Second Ed., Chalcombe Publications, Lincoln UK.

The negative aspect of using the above acids, especially when strong solutions are used, is that when they get in contact with the skin or the eye, serious damage may occur. Another, and increasingly important problem to be solved, is the corrosion such acids cause on metal parts of equipment used, such as expensive harvesters, precision choppers, pick-up wagons and balers.

One way of reducing the corrosiveness of the preservative is to reduce the amount of free acid. Such a solution is described in GB 1.505.388-A, which describes a preservative primarily based on formic acid partially neutralized with ammonia and thus forming ammonium tetraformate. Though this preservative is substantially less corrosive than formic acid, the corrosion rate is still a problem with regard to the equipment used today. There is also known a preservative comprising about 25% formic acid and about 25% acetic acid and the balance being a bi-product from pulp and paper industry comprising sugar and lignosulfonates. Green fodder preservation composition comprising technical lignosulphates is also described in RU 1.642.274. The corrosion rate is primarily reduced by reducing the presence of the most active preservative agents.

Various types of corrosion inhibitors like aminobacterin have also been proposed in preservatives based on formic-, acetic- and propionic acids in water. According to SU 1.727.778-A1 the corrosion rate is reduced by 7-8 times with regard to carbon steel when such inhibitors are used.

A further significant reduction in corrosiveness of acid based silage additives was however considered necessary, and searches for suitable corrosion inhibitors were initiated. Numerous corrosion inhibitors are known from other fields, but far from all of these will be acceptable in preservative agents. Thus, corrosion inhibitors for the field in question must fulfil criteria such as being acceptable for use in animal feed, have no negative influence on the silage fermentation process, being mixable with

the actual acids/salts and they should also be effective in low concentrations in the organic acids.

Use of antioxidants is known in the field, and again this use is limited to antioxidants being acceptable in preservative agents. However, antioxidants alone were not found to meet the desired low corrosion rates.

Regarding corrosion inhibitors applied in other fields of technology, the following can be mentioned:

The patent EP 570.619 B1 relating to cleaning of bathroom fittings, describes use of undiluted aqueous solutions containing acid or alkali salts, for dissolving lime, and that in this solution there is applied an alkyl polyglycoside having the general formula: $R-O(-G)_n$ in which R is a long chain alkyl radical containing 8-22 carbon atoms, G is glycoside-bonded residue of a monosaccharide and $n = 1-10$ as its principal surfactant. This composition is stated to give a high cleaning effect and not acceleration of stress-cracking corrosion. However, there are no teachings in this document regarding the effect of this tenside in organic acids and the corrosion problems related to use of such acids.

In the patent description GB 2.193.224 relating to fuel oil additives containing inorganic salts, there is described stabilisation of said additives by addition of water soluble alkyl glycoside or derivatives thereof. It is further stated in this document that said stabilisers may be used as corrosion inhibitors and/or antifouling agents in fuel oils.

Further, in the patent application DE 4.200.142 A1 there is described a mixture of sulpho:betaine and cationic sulpho:betaine compounds being useful as surfactants, corrosion inhibitors etc. Examples relating to use of said mixtures in hydrochloric acid

show corrosion values >25% without the inhibitors and corrosion values <5% with inhibitors when applied on black iron or aluminium.

A process for inhibiting corrosion in oil production fluids is described in the patent EP 446.616 B1. A betaine derivative is added under acidic conditions in amounts of 5-300 ppm. However, there are no teachings with regard to possible use or effectiveness of said additives as corrosion inhibitors in other liquids mixtures than in said oil fluids.

Polyhydric alcohol and triazole compounds are also known as corrosion inhibitors, for instance in refrigeration systems using potassium formate (WO/ 93/09198).

The main objective of the present invention was to arrive at silage or preservative agents based on monocarboxylic acids or aqueous solutions of at least one of their ammonium-, sodium- or potassium salts mixed with said acids having a low corrosion rate and not causing any health problems to those exposed to such agents.

Another objective was to obtain the low corrosiveness, preferably less than 0.7 mm/year, with use of small amounts of inhibitors that are biodegradable and are not harmful, non toxic etc. during use of preserved grass as feed.

A further objective was to select corrosion inhibitors useful in said preservatives for various uses as preservatives for fish, fish products and meat products etc.

It was also an objective to obtain preservatives based on monocarboxylic acids with substantially reduced corrosiveness.

The main problem in selecting useful corrosion inhibitors for the special purposes in question was to select among all the known inhibitors known from other fields without any indications with regard to their effectiveness in organic acids. The inventors had also to look into possible effects of compounds not especially used for reduction of corrosion, but having properties which could reduce the attack from the acid on the equipment. Such compounds could be surface active agents, such as tensides.

The first attempts to reduce the corrosion was to change the composition of a known preservative. A preservative comprising formic acid partly neutralized with ammonia was modified by reducing the content of water and increasing the amount of ammonia. The effect of this modification was, however, negligible as the old recipe and the modified one showed corrosion rates of 1.9-2.3 mm/year on carbon steel. Investigations were then performed on partly neutralized formic acid to which were added various types of possible inhibitors. After having considered several possible candidates, the following were selected for further testing with regard to effect and necessary amounts for optimal effect:

Molasses, sucrose, manose, cellubiose, glycerol, propargyl alcohol, Na-ligno-sulphonate, urea, carboxymethylcellulose (CMC), trimethylamine acetic acid (betaine), betaine derivatives and alkyl glycosides. Even combinations of some of these chemicals were tested.

The above screening tests showed that only a few of these chemicals could reduce the corrosion rate to levels worth considering. The seemingly most effective chemicals were then further investigated, especially with regard to necessary amounts. Test were also run on addition of these chemicals to formic acid.

These latter investigations showed that addition of reasonable amounts of trimethylamino acetic acid (betaine), betaine derivatives and alkyl polyglycosides, urea and lignosulphonate reduced the corrosion rate substantially. However, only the betaine derivatives and certain polyglycosides would reduce the corrosion rate to less than 0.7 mm/year on carbon steel when used in acceptable amounts. Use of betaine derivatives such as cocobetaine (lauryl dimethylamino acetic acid) gave corrosion rates of this order already at addition of only 0.006 weight%. Contrary to this, known corrosion inhibitors as Na-lignosulphonate had to be applied in amounts of about 2 weight% in order to give comparable low corrosion rates. Another known inhibitor, urea, showed hardly any effect even when applied in amounts of 2 weight%.

Most of the experiments were performed by using partly neutralized formic acid (ammonium tetraformate) as the basic preservative. However, other commonly used preservatives as C_{2-8} monocarboxylic acids mixed with at least one of their respective ammonium-, sodium- or potassium salts showed similar corrosion rates when the same inhibitors as applied during said main tests were applied in said other acid/salt mixtures.

Having performed the above referred tests, the inventors concluded that preservatives having acceptable low corrosion rates could be obtained by using a mixture of at least one C_{1-8} monocarboxylic acid and its respective ammonium-, sodium- and potassium salts and selected surface active additives functioning as corrosion inhibitors. The surface active agent should comprise long chain fatty acids groups, preferably C_{8-22} . Those giving best effects turned out to be betaine derivatives and alkyl (C_{8-22}) polyglycosides or mixtures of these two types of additives. The most preferred basic preservative was found to be an ammonium tetraformate solution. Efficient amounts of the above two types of inhibitors were found to be respectively 0.001-0.1 weight% dry matter and 0.1-0.5 weight% dry matter based on the weight of the basic preservative.

Thus, the new preservative according to the invention comprises an aqueous solution of at least one C_{1-8} monocarboxylic acid or at least one of their respective ammonium-, sodium- or potassium mixed with at least one of said acids and at least one corrosion inhibitor comprising C_{8-22} fatty acid derivatives of trimethylamino acetic acid and/or C_{8-22} alkyl polyglycosides as corrosion inhibitors.

The said preservatives may also contain at least one antioxidant.

The trimethylamino acetic acid derivative content of the preservative should be 0.001-0.1 weight% dry matter based on the weight of the basic preservative.

Corresponding amounts for the polyglycoside inhibitor should be 0.1-0.5 weight% dry matter.

The corrosion inhibitor of the preservative may comprise a mixture of said trimethylamino acetic acid derivative and the alkyl polyglycoside.

The most preferred preservative comprises 0.006-0.06 weight% dry matter of lauryl dimethylamino acetic acid as corrosion inhibitor.

The most preferred basic preservative comprises formic acid partly neutralized with ammonia.

The most preferred preservative based on acids only is formic acid containing 0.001-0.1 weight% dry matter of said trimethylamino acetic acid and/or 0.1-0.5 weight% dry matter of said alkyl polyglycoside.

The invention and its effects are further envisaged in the following examples and figures.

- Figure 1 shows corrosion on carbon steel exposed to a preservative agent according to the invention and as function of amount corrosion inhibitor applied.
- Figure 2 shows corrosion on carbon steel exposed to a preservative agent according to the invention, but with another corrosion inhibitor than that applied for the tests shown in Figure 1.
- Figure 3 shows corrosion on carbon steel exposed to solutions varying from formic acid to grass juice plus water. The corrosion inhibitor applied is the same as for the tests shown in figure 1.

In Figures 1-3 the corrosion rate is shown as mm/year. In Figures 1 and 2 the amounts of corrosion inhibitor are given as weight% dry matter of the preservative used. In Figure 3 the amount of corrosion inhibitor is the same for all the tests, i.e. 0.06 weight%.

The effects of acid and acid salts with different additives/inhibitors on carbon steel corrosion were assessed according to standard procedure (ASTM G31-72 1995). Carbon steel elements were prepared to have uniform size and surface structure (500 grit). The elements were washed with soap, rinsed with water and acetone and treated in an ultra sound bath before the tests were performed. The steel elements were soaked in the test solution at ambient temperature (20°C) for seven days. The liquid : steel surface ratio was 20 ml:1cm². Air was bubbled continuously through the test system to keep the solution saturated with oxygen. Three replicates were run for each treatment. Rate of corrosion was assessed by measuring weight loss.

Example 1

This example shows the corrosion rate for carbon steel exposed to a basic preservative being an ammonium tetraformate solution (70.1% formic acid, 7.8% ammonia and 22.1% water) containing various additives. The effects of the various inhibitors are compared with the corrosion rates for the basic preservative. The pH before and after the tests were about the same and were in the range of pH 2.9-3.05. Some of the additives/inhibitors were added as solutions of the active additive, but in the table the amounts of additives are given as weight% dry matter of the amount of the basic preservative. The results of the tests are shown in the following Table 1.

Table 1

Test no.	Inhibitor/additive	Amount in weight%	Corrosion rate mm/year
1	No inhibitor		1.9-2.1
2	Molasses	10	0.76 ± 0.04
3	Sucrose	5	1.3 ± 0.1
4	Mannose	5	1.58 ± 0.09
5	Cellubiose	5	1.84 ± 0.07
6	Carboxymethylcellulose	0.5	1.6 ± 0.2
7	Na-lignosulphonate	2.0	0.63 ± 0.04
8	Propargyl alcohol	0.2	1.0 ± 0.1
9	Glycerol	0.5	1.9 ± 0.1
10	Polyglycoside	0.35	0.64 ± 0.05
11	Betaine	0.2	2.0 ± 0.1
12	Betaine	0.5	2.5 ± 0.3
13	Cocobetaine	0.06	0.60 ± 0.06
14	Cocobetaine + Polyglycoside	0.03+0.07	0.53 ± 0.03
15	Urea	2.0	2.13 ± 0.04

The betaine used in tests 11 and 12 is a pure betaine without any branching and known as a feed additive. The cocobetaine used in test 13 is lauryl dimethylamino acetic acid, and the polyglycoside used in test 10 was an alkyl polyglycoside (fatty acid C₈₋₁₀ glycoside).

As can be seen from Table 1, only a few of the tested additives could reduce the corrosion rate down to acceptable levels and some of these had to be used in rather large amounts, such as lignosulphonate and molasses. In view of the results from this screening test, only betaine derivatives and/or polyglycosides seemed to be of interest for further investigations.

Example 2

This example shows corrosion rates for carbon steel exposed to a similar basic preservative as in Example 1, but now varying amounts of cocobetaine or alkyl polyglycoside were added as corrosion inhibitors. The composition of the basic preservative was 64.5% formic acid, 6% ammonia and 29.5% water. Table 2 shows the results when cocobetaine was used as inhibitor, the corresponding Figure 1 shows the results graphically. The effects of using polyglycoside as inhibitor are shown in Table 3 and Figure 2.

Table 2

Test no.	Amount in weight%	Corrosion rate mm/year
1	0.0	2.0
2	0.006	0.6 ± 0.04
3	0.015	0.63 ± 0.04
4	0.03	0.40 ± 0.02
5	0.06	0.38 ± 0.04

Table 3

Test no.	Amount in weight%	Corrosion rate mm/year
1	0.0	2.0
2	0.07	1.5 ± 0.0
3	0.21	0.70 ± 0.03
4	0.35	0.64 ± 0.05

Tables 2 and 3 show that cocobetaine gives corrosion rates of about 0.5 mm/year already at amounts of 0.006 weight%, while alkyl polyglycoside must be applied in amounts of 0.35 weight% for giving similar effects. However, the latter amounts are still very low compared with for instance necessary amounts for Na-lignosulphonate (Table 1).

Example 3

This example shows the corrosion rate for formic acid, ammonium tetraformate (same composition as in Example 2) and ammonium tetraacetate with and without addition of 0.06 weight% cocobetaine. The corrosion rate for grass juice in water is also shown. The results of these tests are shown in Table 4 and Figure 3.

Table 4

Test no.	Liquid/preservative	Inhibitor	Corrosion rate mm/year
1	85% Formic acid		5.25
2	85% Formic acid	Cocobetaine	2.4 ± 0.3
3	Ammonium tetraformate		2.0
4	Ammonium tetraformate	Cocobetaine	0.38 - 0.52
5	Grass juice		0.32 ± 0.03
6	Ammonium tetraacetate		0.65 ± 0.09
7	Ammonium tetraacetate	Cocobetaine	0.40 ± 0.02

From Table 4 and Figure 3 it can be seen that application of cocobetaine as corrosion inhibitor in an ammonium tetraformate preservative gives a corrosion rate on carbon steel close to that of grass juice. Cocobetaine will also reduce the corrosion rate for formic acid with about 50% making it far more applicable than today, for instance in connection with preservation of fish and fish products. Also when the preservative is ammonium tetraacetate, which have a low corrosiveness, the corrosion rate is reduced by addition of cocobetaine.

By the present invention the inventors have been able to arrive at new preservative agents being environmental friendly, causing no harm to those exposed to them and at the same time having a very low corrosiveness. The active corrosion inhibitors being compatible with the monocarboxylic acids and their salts will reduce the corrosion rate on carbon steel almost down to that of grass juice plus water, even when applied in such small amounts as 0.006 weight% dry matter based on the weight of the basic preservative. Preservatives according to the invention based on said monocarboxylic acids only will also have their corrosiveness reduced as much as about 50% when they contain these corrosion inhibitors like the above defined betaine derivatives.

Claims

1. Aqueous preservative agent for grass and other agricultural crops, fish and fish products and meat products, comprising at least one C_{1-8} monocarboxylic acid or at least one of their respective ammonium-, sodium- or potassium salts mixed with at least one of said acids and at least one corrosion inhibitor, comprising C_{8-22} fatty acid derivatives of trimethylamino acetic acid and/or C_{8-22} alkyl polyglycosides as corrosion inhibitors.
2. Preservative according to claim 1, characterized in that the preservative contains at least one antioxidant.
3. Preservative according to claim 1, characterized in that the trimethylamino acetic acid derivative is applied in amounts of 0.001-0.1 weight% dry matter based on the weight of the preservative agent itself.
4. Preservative according to claim 1, characterized in that the alkyl polyglycoside is applied in amounts of 0.1-0.5 weight% dry matter based on the weight of the preservative agent itself.
5. Preservative according to claim 1, characterized in that the corrosion inhibitor is a mixture of alkyl polyglycoside and a trimethylamino acetic acid fatty acid derivative.

6. Preservative according to claim 1,
characterized in that
corrosion inhibitor is lauryl dimethylamino acetic acid in a concentration of
0.006-0.06 weight% dry matter based on the weight of the preservative
itself.
7. Preservative agent according to claim 1,
characterized in that
the preservative itself is formic acid partly neutralized with ammonia.
8. Preservative according to claim 1,
characterized in that
the preservative is formic acid containing 0.001-0.1 weight% dry matter of
trimethylloamino acetic acid derivatives and/or 0.1-0.5 weight% dry matter
alkyl polyglycosides based on the weight of the formic acid.

1/2

Corrosion, mm/year




Fig. 1

Corrosion, mm/year




Fig. 2

Corrosion, mm/year




Fig. 3

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No. —

PCT/NO 98/00274

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER		
IPC6: A23L 3/3508 According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC		
B. FIELDS SEARCHED		
Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)		
IPC6: A23L		
Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched		
SE,DK,FI,NO classes as above		
Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)		
EPODOC; WPI		
C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT		
Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	US 5017612 A (J.E. NAYFA), 21 May 1991 (21.05.91), column 1, line 13 - column 2, line 48 --	1-2
A	WO 8702714 A1 (GIOVANNI BOZZETTO S.P.A.), 7 May 1987 (07.05.87) --	1-8
A	WO 9316603 A1 (KEMIRA OY), 2 Sept 1993 (02.09.93), abstract --	1-8
A	EP 0760402 A1 (CECA S.A.), 5 March 1997 (05.03.97), abstract --	1-8
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> See patent family annex.		
* Special categories of cited documents: "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance "E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date "I" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed "I" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention "X" document of particular relevance: the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone "Y" document of particular relevance: the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art "&" document member of the same patent family		
Date of the actual completion of the international search		Date of mailing of the international search report
22 December 1998		12 -02- 1999
Name and mailing address of the ISA/ Swedish Patent Office Box 5055, S-102 42 STOCKHOLM Facsimile No. +46 8 666 02 86		Authorized officer Inger Löfgren Telephone No. +46 8 782 25 00

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No. —

PCT/NO 98/00274

C (Continuation). DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
A	US 3962475 A (J.G. FOREST ET AL), 8 June 1976 (08.06.76), abstract --	1-8
A	US 4401624 A (C.B. ATWATER), 30 August 1983 (30.08.83), abstract -- -----	1-8

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT
Information on patent family members

01/12/98

International application No.

PCT/NO 98/00274

Patent document cited in search report			Publication date	Patent family member(s)		Publication date
US	5017612	A	21/05/91	NONE		
WO	8702714	A1	07/05/87	AU 6339186 A EP 0250446 A,B		19/05/87 07/01/88
WO	9316603	A1	02/09/93	AU 3502293 A FI 920886 A LT 362 A MD 940024 A		13/09/93 28/08/93 15/07/94 30/06/95
EP	0760402	A1	05/03/97	FR 2738018 A,B		28/02/97
US	3962475	A	08/06/76	CA 1045446 A		02/01/79
US	4401624	A	30/08/83	NONE		

THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)

**This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning
Operations and is not part of the Official Record**

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

- ☐ BLACK BORDERS
- ☐ IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- ☒ FADED TEXT OR DRAWING
- ☒ BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING
- ☐ SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- ☐ COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS
- ☐ GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS
- ☒ LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT
- ☒ REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY
- ☐ OTHER: _____

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.

THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)